

* communism is treason! * fight it with common sense!



The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

Issue No. 303 (13th Year)

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A., July 1, 1958

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:
(24 issues annually)
Plain envelope, unsealed ... \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (16 mos.) \$1.

"The Truth, the whole Truth,
and nothing but the Truth"

Without fear or favor,
Conrad McCorley
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

Five Cents

Entered as Second Class Matter
January 27, 1948, at the Post Office at Union, N.J.,
under the Act of March 3, 1879

Are Zionist Lawyers Threat To America's Security?

By Robert Lee Gibbons
Member of the Bar


In recent months the U.S. Supreme Court has been deservedly censured by patriotic elements in the nation for its atrocious decisions imperilling our safety. It would be erroneous, however, in considering the legal and judicial situation, to direct our attention exclusively to the Supreme Court.

One particular ethnic group has been influential in the process of erosion of our domestic security and legal traditions. That group is JEWRY!

In the July 15, 1957 issue (No.280) of COMMON SENSE, we presented a summary of decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court benefiting traitors and security risks over a period of more than two years.

We believe it will be of interest to Christian patriots to learn the names of the lawyers who represented the winning parties in those cases. For the sake of brevity, only the names of the parties in whose favor the cases were decided are given. Following the name of the case you will find the name or names of counsel for the winning party or parties.

Jencks — John T. McTernan and Nathan Witt; Quinn — David Scribner, Frank J. Donner, Arthur Kinoy and Allan R. Rosenberg; Emspak — David Scribner, Frank J. Donner, Arthur Kinoy and Allan R. Rosenberg; Bart — James T. Wright' A.L. Wirin and Frank J. Donner; Peters — Thurman Arnold, Paul A. Porter, Abe Fortas and Milton V. Freeman; Lawson (Wis. Gwinn Amendment case) — Osmond K. Fraenkel and Paul L. Ross; Cammer — Charles E. Ford; Nelson — Herbert S. Thatcher and Victor Rabinowitz; Slochower — Ephraim London; Zucca — Orrin G. Judd and Earle K. Moore; Communist Party of U.S. — John J. Abt and Joseph Forer; Cole — David I. Shapiro, James H. Heller and Osmond K. Fraenkel; Mesarosh — Frank J. Donner, Arthur Kinoy, Marshall Perlin and Hubert T. Delaney; International Union of Mine, Mill & Smelter Workers — Nathan Witt, Joseph Forer and David Rein; Amalgamated Meat Cutters & Butcher Workmen of North America, AFL-CIO — Harold I. Cammer; Gold — Harold I. Cammer and Joseph Forer; Witkovich — Pearl M. Hart and Cyril D. Robinson; Schwarcz — Herbert Monte Levy; Konigsberg — Edward Mosk and Samuel Rosenwein; Kremen et al. — Norman Leonard; Sentner — Sydney L. Berger and R.L. Witherspoon; Service — C. Edward Rhetts, Warner W. Gardner and Alfred L. Scanlan; Yates (14 West Coast Communist leaders) — Ben Margolis, Norman Leonard, Alexander H. Schullman, A.L. Wirin and Leo Branton, Jr.; Watkins — Joseph L. Rauh Jr., Harold A. Cranefield, Norma Zarky, John Silard, Daniel H. Pollitt and Sidney S. Sachs; Sweezy — Thomas I. Emerson and WM. L. Phinney; Green — John J. Abt; Sacher — Frank J. Donner and David Rein; Flaxer — David Rein and Joseph Forer; Barenblatt — David Scribner; Wellman et al. — Ernest Goodman;



Felix Frankfurter

This Zionist is now serving on the Court past the normal retirement age of 70. Even as he approaches retirement (if ever) there are two equally dangerous men awaiting the appointment to his spot. They are Simon Sobeloff and Charles Wysocki.

Morgan — Ann Fagan Ginger and Thelma C. Furry; Raley et al. — Louis C. Capelle and Morse Johnson.

A number of these lawyers, such as Frank J. Donner, Nathan Witt and John Abt, have been named as communists!

Even the most casual observer of the above tabulation will detect the large predominance of Jewish lawyers. In only 5 of the 32 cases were there no Jews acting as counsel. It would be difficult to find a better example of the high correlation between Jewry and subversion.

Additional evidence that the assault on the security of the American people is being directed by domestic Talmudists is afforded by the activities of the National Lawyers Guild. This organization has been cited as a communist-front by both the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the Internal Security Subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary Committee. Of the 8 officers of the Guild, 6 are Jews. Even more astounding and revealing, however, is the fact that of the 44 individuals on its board of directors and national executive board, 43 are Jews!

Many of the lawyers named in the above tabulation and in the Appendix are members of the Guild. If you think the Guild is satisfied with the drastic damage already perpetrated by the Supreme Court, you are sadly mistaken. These agit-prop artists want to create a climate of opinion which will induce the Court not only to refrain from reversing its trend of recent years, but to commit even worse judicial atrocities! Indeed, one of the Guild's leaders, the Jew Osmond K. Fraenkel, regards the freedom of speech guaranty in the U.S. Constitution as absolute, subject to no qualifications. These contemporary Pharisees, perniciously molded in the tradition of the hair-splitting, obscene Talmud,

clamor for total license for sedition and treason. If the Supreme Court, headed by Earl Warren, a recent student of the Talmud, grants such license, the American Republic will follow other great Christian nations into oblivion.

Space does not permit even a cursory description of the Talmud, that massive compendium of Jewish law. Samples of Talmudic jurisprudence are permission for a Jew to perjure himself to condemn a Christian (Baba Kamma 113a), incompetency of non-Jews to testify as witnesses (Mishna Baba Kamma, by Goldin), the exemption from the death penalty granted to a Jew who intends to kill a non-Jew but mistakenly kills a Jew (Ibid), and permission for a girl of 3 to be given in marriage (Mishna Baba Mezia, by Goldin).

The famous KOL NIDRE prayer, repudiating on Yom Kippur all the vows of the Jew for the following year, has a basis in the Talmud in Nedarim, 23a-23b. It is decreed in the Book of Eben Haezar that marriages between Christian and Jews are null. The Jew is enjoined in Choschen Ham. (26,1) not to sue before a Christian judge, and is told in Babba Batra (54b) that Christian property belongs to the first person claiming.

Warren's study of the Talmud is ominous. In medieval Europe, particularly in Poland with its large Jewish enclave, the Beth-Din, an exclusively Jewish court established pursuant to the Talmud, possessed power to decide questions of criminal and civil law. The Beth-Din system was organized on an hierarchical basis, like our state and federal judiciary. There was a Beth-Din for a small community, a district Beth-Din to decide more important cases, and a court of appeals. As a rule the Rabbi of the community, who was the

—o— (Continued on Page 2) —o—



Earl Warren

The Warren Court, pressured by many Red-front organizations has aided the Communists in many ways.

He recently studied Talmudic Law under Rabbi Finklestein, so brace yourselves for more of his weird Red-favored decisions!

Security Threat

(Continued from Page 1) —

chief justice of the local Beth-Din had the title of Ab Beth-Din, was, like other Rabbis, trained in Talmudic jurisprudence. Since we now have a Chief Justice of the U.S. who has been trained in the Talmud, how long will it be before he is Ab Beth-Din of the U.S., presiding over a federal judiciary perverted by Pharisaical, Talmudic principles? Unless Christian members of the bar assert themselves in courts, legislative halls and elsewhere, that time is not remote.

In writing of Warren, we do not wish to minimize the pernicious influence of Felix Frankfurter on the Court. Readers of COMMON SENSE are familiar with his odious (see issues 255 and 280) record. He is now serving past the retirement age of 70, but don't get any false hopes that his retirement would bring any improvement, as his successor doubtless will be either Simon Sobeloff of the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals, who signed the government's brief in favor of desegregation in the case decided to the sorrow of the South on May 17, 1954, or Charles Wyzanski, of the 1st Circuit, who advocated J. Robert Oppenheimer's appearance as Wm. James lecturer at Harvard. Either one of these Jews would be quickly confirmed by the rubber-stamp Judiciary Committee of the Senate!

The lapse in vigilance of this Committee in the last 40 years is the measure in the decline in constitutional responsibility of the Senate. When Woodrow Wilson appointed Louis Dembitz Brandeis, a radical lawyer and Zionist, to the Court in 1916, he was confirmed by the Senate only after a protracted controversy. Frankfurter, named by Roosevelt in 1939, encountered opposition, but not as much as had confronted Brandeis. Recent appointments have met with practically no protest. The only member of the Senate who opposed the appointment of the half baked Catholic, William Brennan, who was to write the Jencks opinion, was the late patriot, Joe McCarthy.

Samuel Roth, in his bitter revelations about his own people in "Jews Must Live", (The Golden Hind Press, Inc., 1934), writes that nothing seems to him "so monstrously ironical as the easy liberalness with which the major peoples of the earth continue to admit Jews to the practise of the two cardinal professions - law and medicine.

This same Jewish writer attributes the decline of the American Bar to the infiltration of his people. "The old-world lawyer," writes Roth, "regards himself primarily as an officer of the court. If the American lawyer realizes that he is an officer of the court, he certainly does not take this phase of his function seriously. This callousness is the result of the practise of the Jewish lawyer who swarms the American courts in such numbers that the average lawyer's office has become about as safe, for the poor layman, as a nest of rattlesnakes".

Roth concludes his comments on the Jewish contribution to jurisprudence by asking the provocative but pertinent question: "Are a dozen Brandeises and Cardozas — granting that there are so many — sufficient compensation for the looting of a continent?"

We have been brought to this twilight era for the law and the nation by political and religious leaders who have been too craven, venal or stupid to cry out against the Pharisaical threat. It was not always thus.

In 1215 the Fourth Lateran Council, famous in the annals of the Catholic Church, decreed that acceptance of legal testimony of Jews against Christians was forbidden and enacted that Jews should not hold public office.

Pope Eugenius IV, in 1442 decreed that Christians shall not allow Jews to hold civil honors, or to exercise public office in the State. He said that Jews cannot be merchants, tax collectors, or lawyers in matrimonial matters. He also forbade the

Jews to study civil law.

Pope Paul IV in 1555 said:

"Jews can only engage themselves in the work of street-sweepers and rag-pickers and cannot be produce merchants or in things necessary for human use."

This would preclude the practice of law.

Pope Paul V. renewed all the anti-Jewish bulls of his predecessors. He dealt a death blow to Jewish civil jurisdiction by ordering that Jewish lawsuits might be brought only before the governor. (Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. 10, p. 456).

Temporal rulers of Europe in the age of faith went even farther than the Roman Pontiffs. Edward I of England expelled the Jews from England in 1290, and Phillip le Bel of France followed suit several years later. Two centuries later they were driven out of Spain.

Although it is too much to expect our present politicians to expel the Jews from the nation whose hospitality they have abused, they could at least protect the public interest and general welfare after the fashion of the medieval Church, by prohibiting the Jew from practicing law. This measure would require courage, however, and that is where the readers, the constituents of the politicians, enter the picture. **YOU HAVE A PART TO PLAY IF THE JEWISH MONEY AND PROPAGANDA POWER ARE NOT TO INTIMIDATE YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES!** Write to your State legislator and state that you are fed up with the Pharisaical perversions of the law, and demand that the legal profession be closed to the perversers.

Following you will find an Appendix listing the names of Jewish lawyers who in recent years have represented in litigation Smith Act, Sedition and contempt defendants, pacifists, passport applicants, disbarred attorneys, deportation defendants, persons separated from government, military and private employment for loyalty or security reasons, or otherwise acted as counsel in "civil liberties" cases. The name of each attorney is given and listed under the city in which he practices law, for the benefit of readers in those cities.

Baltimore, Md.

Harold Buchman

Berkeley, California

Laurent B. Frantz

Boston, Mass.

Gabriel Kantrovitz, Max Kabatznick, Jacob J. Kaplan, Frank Lichtenstein, Lawrence Shubow, Gerald A Berlin.

Buffalo, N.Y.

Richard Lipsitz

Chicago, Illinois

Henry Heineman, Warren Krinsky, F. Raymond Marks, Jr., Pearl Hart.

Cleveland, Ohio

Sydney S. Friedman, Fred Mandel.

Denver, Colorado

Samuel D. Menin, John Shafron.

Detroit, Mich.

Ernest Goodman, Harry Kobel.

Evansville, Ind.

Sydney L. Berger

Flint, Mich.

Morton Leitson

Hartford, Conn.

Marvin D. Karp

Hollywood, Cal.

William B. Esterman, Stanley Fleishman
Honolulu

Myer C. Symonds

Houston, Texas

Bernard A. Golding

Los Angeles, Cal.

Leo Branton, Abe Gorenfeld, Ben Margolis, Fred Okrand, Robert R. Rissman, Alexander H. Schullman, Esther Shandler

Louisville Ky.

Louis Lusky, Robert Zollinger
Milwaukee, Wis.

M. Michael Essin

Newark, N.J.

Irving Leuchter, Emil Oxfeld, Morton Stavis

New Haven, Conn.

Stanley A. Jacobs, Edward Seltzer, Catherine G. Roraback

New Orleans, La.

George A. Dreyfous

New York City

John J. Abt, Gloria Agrin, David Ashe, Leonard Boudin, Sidney Elliot Cohn, Albert L. Collums, Harold I. Cammer, Nanette Dembitz, Abraham M. Dubno, Morris L. Ernst, Jessica Davidson, Herbert J. Fabricant, Stanley Faulkner, Osmond K. Fraenkel, Blanch Freedman, Milton H. Friedman, David M. Freedman, Ann Fagan Ginger, Mary Kaufman, Arthur Kinoy, Rhoda Laks, David J. Levy, Herbert Monte Levy, Newman Levy, Ephraim S. London, Louis Nizer, Samuel Neuberger, Basil Pollitt, Marshall Perlin, Morris Ploscowe, Harris Present, Victor Rabinowitz, Emanuel Redfield, Charles Recht, O. John Rogge, Paul L. Ross, Harry Sacher, David I. Shapiro, David Scribner, George Soll, Reuben Terris, Abraham Unger, David L. Weissman, Nathan Witt, Philip Wittenberg, Jack B. Weinstein, Benjamin K. Zucker

Oakland, Cal.

Bertram Edises

Philadelphia, Pa.

David Cohen, Philip Dorfman, Jacob Kossman

Phoenix, Arizona

Yetta Land

Pittsburgh, Pa.

Louis Caplan, Hymen Schlesinger, M.Y. Steinberg

Portland, Oregon

Irvin Goodman

Richmond, Cal.

Joseph Landisman, Joseph Genser

San Francisco, Cal.

Allan Brotsky, Benjamin Dreyfus, Norman Leonard, Richard Gladstein, Lawrence Speiser, Stanley A. Weigel, Rubin Tepper, Ralph T. Wertheimer

Seattle, Wash.

Sarah Lesser, Solie Ringold

Spokane, Wash.

R. Max Etter

Stamford, Conn.

Samuel Gruber, George T. Loman, Milton Turkel

Washington, D.C.

David Carliner, Nathan H. David, Joseph Forer, Abe Fortas, Arthur J. Goldberg, Daniel H. Pollitt, Harry Rand, Joseph L. Rauh Jr., David Rein, Sidney Sachs, Norma Zarky

Read and pass on!

Give wide publicity to your local UNITED STATES DAY Program Oct. 23rd. Write:

UNITED STATES DAY COMMITTEE
103 Boulder Building
Tulsa, Okla., for literature

COMMON SENSE is published by the CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN., of Union, New Jersey.

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500

One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50

First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year

FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

Common Sense?

Published twice monthly at

538 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

Must Christian Churches Deteriorate For Fear Of Jewish Talmudism?

Written by a Priest who must not be named for obvious reasons

Mr Conde McGinley
Union, N.J.

Dear Mr McGinley,

The enclosed pittance will help buy a little more ammunition, and I devoutly hope and pray that more priests will hear and answer your appeal for funds. I consider this a matter of conscience since you are doing the work that should be done by the Church. And it is my studied impression that millions of Catholics are aware that there is something terribly odd about the silence of the Church at the most critical time in our history, or for that matter, in the history of the human race. This silence cannot be explained in a way that will save the honor of the Church, and Catholics generally know that it is the duty of the Church, not only to condemn all evil ideas that seep, or are thrust into the public consciousness, but to zealously teach positive Truth. Obviously, if the Truth were preached from the housetops, as Christ commanded, false ideas would never have a chance to take root. The Church was established specifically to counteract ideological error by teaching positive Truth. And surely the experience of the centuries should be sufficient to convince any competent scholar that the counter-organization established by Satan to prevent the teaching of the Truth, is Talmudism, as contained in the Babylonian (and Palestinian) Talmud, the Devil's Bible, the most obscene and blasphemous set of books ever printed on this poor earth.

This hideous ideological sub-sewer is the fountain-head of everything anti-Christian, and explains very clearly why those who "say they are Jews but are not" are perpetual polluters of human thought, and hence, the world's tormentors and trouble-makers. The enemy of God and man today, as always, is the Talmudic Jew, and unless and until, the Teachers of Christian Truth specifically name the enemy, they are NOT carrying out the orders of Christ. He certainly minced no words in condemning the "Traditions of the Ancients," the Talmud. The strongest language used on earth was directed to them in person, and His brief, "Begone Satan" summarises His will in teaching.

Christ tells the whole story in His scathing denunciation of the Talmudists, when He says to them, and their modern followers: "The father from whom you are, is the devil, and the desires of your father it is your will to do. He was a murderer from the beginning and has not stood in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he

tells a lie, he speaks from his nature for he is a liar, and the father of lies." (St. John) It is my personal conviction that God will not allow these "Jews" to tell the truth, or to do anything good. "The desires of your father it is your will to do" indicates to me that these miserable creatures MUST oppose everything that is good and true. Never in all history have they sponsored a good project! Hence it may be safely assumed that when they call themselves "Jews" they are lying. They CANNOT tell the truth! They are NOT Jews, for if this were true, they would not say it. This conclusion requires no profound thought, no research. God alone is the producer, the Creator, the Cause of all things, and the source of all Truth, natural and supernatural. He has a "corner" on both Truth and Goodness. Obviously, those who oppose Christ, have only evil and error as their portion, because that is all that is left! Hence, they must be considered the "living damned" since "The Prince of this world is already judged." However, while we pity and pray for these "chattering skeletons," we refuse to permit them to inject their venom into our minds, our food or our drink!

When we look over the current scene, we see clearly the workings of the diabolic mind. Integration of races, fluoridation of water, confiscatory taxation, "foreign aid", the U.N.O., Labor tyranny, forced unionism, Atomic bombs,—anything, everything—just name it, and a little study will prove absolutely that it does NOT come from Christian sources. It is NOT TRUE, nor is it GOOD, and these are the hallmarks of Christ. Satan fell because of Christ, and while permitted, he will continue to revenge himself. Christ IS King of the Universe, and all men are traitors to Him who fail to vehemently assert His Kingship in these evil days, which ARE evil because Christ has been abandoned!

Christian ideology has been followed in the main thru the centuries, and wherever followed literally and devoutly, success has crowned man's efforts. However, in recent years, the gradual erosion of Christian thought, actively instigated by the world's Divinely appointed "trouble-makers" has taken its toll, and today we stand without the Divine protection, and Divine guidance, because we have not earned them! "We" have compromised with Satan, and now, literally, we have the "devil to pay." He now knows his horrible error, and he despises those who follow his tragic example. It is his privilege to punish them!

Quite obviously things have gotten out of control by the Ambassadors of Christ, because of their compromise with the

enemy. Now, either thru physical inability, or thru "Fear of the Jews" they are unable to get thru to the laity. And once this failure has become apparent, the once great authority of the Church is invoked in vain. Such a disastrous error as occurred in the fluoridation controversy might well prove fatal. Many ranking leaders of Christian thought have answered inquirers that "There is no MORAL problem involved" in water fluoridation! Of course, this is unpardonable ignorance in men who were accepted as authorities in moral matters. Actually, any third grade child knows it is wrong to take poison. And surely one versed in Christian philosophy must know that the laws of Nature are just as valid as the 10 Commandments, since both are Divine Revelation. Fluoridation is a violent denial of NATURAL RIGHTS, the very foundation upon which this country was established. We may mercifully permit ignorance of chemistry in our clergy. But how can a priest concede KNOWLEDGE of chemistry in man who makes a "religion" of denying the Divinity of Christ? Shall we take our science from men who deny the very existence of the Author of science? This, indeed is the ruinous gap in the foundation of the Church in America.—ignorance of God in Nature! Christ's warning NOT to build on sand has evidently been ignored!

By this I mean that the failure to study and to teach the NATURAL LAWS and natural science has proved the Achilles Heel by which the Church will disintegrate in America. The refining of natural food, the adulteration with preservatives of poisonous nature, the pasteurization of milk, chemical fertilizers and poison sprays, hybrid corn (genetically mutilated) synthetic substitutes, hydrogenated fats, drugs, serums,—the list is endless—and ALL these things do violence to the natural laws of God. Yet they are tolerated, even accepted, by CHRISTIANS! Indeed, we must suspect that those who practice crimes against the NATURAL LAWS are not doing so well with the SUPER-natural laws either! "If you love not man whom you see, how can you love GOD whom you do NOT see?" Meaning, that if you do not know and love God in His Natural world, how can you adore Him as a pure spirit? And all of this adds up to explain why the PASTOR of the Universe, the King of Kings, Jesus Christ the Son of God, is soon coming back to earth in Visible Person, to take complete charge, and to straighten out the hopeless mess we weaklings in Faith have made of His world. And for this I devoutly pray, "VENI, DOMINE JESU!"



Conde McGinley



SIX MUNCE UGO I CUTNT
EVA ZDEL PUBLIZHOR
AN NOW I AR ONE



This is how the Communist Daily Worker visualized the late Sen. Joseph McCarthy.

This is also how the enemy pictures your editor. Note the contrast in both cases to actual photographs. They distort facts the same way.

Let's Have A Referendum

By Marilyn R. Allen

Most feared by Fluoridation proponents is open discussion, documented information, and then vote on a referendum by the people: but this is the American Way.

The D.A.R. in Washington D.C. voted 800 to 20 against Fluoridation. The A.M.A. does not advocate fluoridation, but rather a re-evaluation of it. Seattle voted 2 to 1 against it: more than 60 California cities rejected it: 25 Oregon cities voted against it. U.S. cities with over 50 million in population unalterably oppose it. Benjamin Nesin, director of laboratories for New York, opposes it. His reasons were placed in Congressional Record for June 12, 1957, by Hon. Roy W. Wier of Minn. Nesin said, "There can be no question of the hazards involved, and any idea of maintaining a public health flirtation with Fluoridation is nothing more than so much macabre pseudo-scientific sophistry." Dr. Royal Lee, D.D.S., Milwaukee, says "Inorganic fluorine is a cumulative poison...it accumulates in the body even when taken in very small doses."

Dr. Frederick B. Exner, of Seattle, Fellow of American College of Radiology, Univ. of Washington, teacher of chemistry and physics, says that the "grounds on which danger is denied are based on such distorted concepts of physiology, toxicology, chemistry and mathematics, that they can only be regarded as pseudo science... Children will be disfigured. Later there will be systemic poisoning... No scientific evidence that ANY amount of Fluorine in the water is safe for users, and any at all is too much."

H.R. Bill 10046 was introduced in House of Representatives on Jan. 18, 1958, prohibiting officials and employees of the U.S. from treating water of D.C. and territories with fluorides.

Vignettes

By Seythian

PAUL & SAULS.

The third, 3000 lbs., Soviet sputnik started its ponderous voyage around our poor, long-suffering Earth....

Now, as usual, the newspapers will debate hotly who stole from whom and why the backward S.U. and not the technically far advanced U.S. hurled this enormous mass of "hardware" into space.

Leaving aside all those useless discussions, let us simply take one of the copies of the NEWSWEEK Magazine, (April 28, 1958), and quote from it several revealing passages. Britain's Barbara Ward, author and foreign-affairs specialist, said that "over the last EIGHT YEARS, the United States has lost momentum in world leadership. Russia has the initiative now..." Then, in the footnote on page 41, it is said, and we quote: "Sputniks caused it all" - says CHESKIN soberly - "the discovery that while WE were fussing with USELESS DECORATIONS the Russians were making SATELLITES and inter-continental ROCKETS has had a profound psychological effect on almost every American." And, finally, about the Soviet and American exhibit on the Brussels World's Fair it is stated that while the Soviets presented "acres of statistics and tons of heavy machinery" the U.S. offered "SAUL Steinberg's witty (?) murals and pert fashion models!"

Hoping that our highly unscientific approach to the subject will not offend the "Experts" too much, we shall offer, tentatively, such an explanation of the remarkable all-over post-war progress of the Soviet Union and the decline of the U.S. leadership both in politics and science.

Could it not happen that the total disappearance during the last decade of the SAULS from all prominent positions in the S.U. - the event so much lamented by Prof.

Are We Color Blind?

TO MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

85th Congress - Second Session MUST YOU BE COLOR BLIND ABOUT COMMUNISM ?

President Eisenhower probably can distinguish between the white of a golf ball and the green of the course over which he plays. Secretary of State Dulles probably can distinguish between the black ink of profit and the red of loss on a corporation balance sheet.

But, judging by their constant asking for Aid for Tito, aid enabling that Communist mass-murderer to keep 18,000,000 Yugoslavs, our friends, in slavery, and their new pitch of granting Aid to the Communist Government of enslaved Poland, the two gentlemen appear unable to differentiate between the red of Communist Slavery and the green of Freedom. Couldn't they be called COLOR BLIND ABOUT COMMUNISM ?

Whether it's given to Polish Communist Government, a member of the Soviet Block, or to the Yugoslav Communist Government, a "front" for Communism's work outside the Iron Curtain, Aid for any Communist government is Aid for Soviet Russia. It's financing for the World Communist effort to destroy our United States!

Whether the Aid is given in cash, or in commodities to be paid for in Communist local currencies we later release back to the Communist government concerned, such Aid frees corresponding funds for Moscow, to be used as Moscow wishes... to speed

Hyman LEVY and other Jewish Marxists, (TIME, May 5, 1958) - and the corresponding increase of their power in the U.S., have something to do with the decline of one nation and the terrific and terrifying progress made by the other?

It might be so or not, but the coincidence is really remarkable and shall be noted especially because another country, Germany, which is now also practically free from the corrosive influence of the SAULS did too such a tremendous recovery after the 2nd world war. It is not denied that while the Jews were at their height of power in the S.U., they arranged for the delivery of the most vital military secrets from the United States to the Soviet Union.

IMPERTINENCE UN-LIMITED

Years ago, during the election campaign, Mr. Eisenhower declared before a Jewish audience that the "corrupt Tsarist regime instigated the Jewish pogroms for diverting the attention of the Russian people from its own shortcomings." This oft-repeated impudent Jewish lie is widely used by the American politicians when they wish to reaffirm their allegiance to the Jewish cause, because Jewish support is "necessary for national victory" as Mr. Steiner boldly stated in his article about American Jewry by LIFE, (August 12, 1957).

But, apparently, his efforts to please the Jews failed and the poor "Ike" ran finally afoul of those who make and ruin at will the leaders of the American Government. On May 13 - unlucky date! Mr. Issac Toubin himself, the Executive Director of the American Jewish Congress, roughly attacked the Eisenhower Administration for "ineptness" in Middle East and a "lack of national leadership" in civil rights. There is no doubt, of course, that the present Republican Administration has its own serious "shortcomings"; but to blame it for the "ineptness" in the Middle East where the American position was hopelessly ruined by the Truman's support of Israel which alienated all Arab world from the West, is something which only the unlimited Jewish arrogance could invent, and the equally unlimited patience of the American people could tolerate.... But is this patience really unlimited? That is the question!

up Sputniks, to succor Sukarno, agitate Algeria, prod Peruvians, vex Venezuelans, in short to make trouble for our friends and for us wherever Moscow wishes.

Last autumn, after our Foreign Aid Program had been voted, and our allotments for supporting Communism in Poland and Yugoslavia made, Tito recognized the Communist Government of East Germany. It was a defiant demonstration of Communist solidarity, but in a way backfired. For it aroused opposition here to granting further Aid to Communist governments.

Worried by the possibility of our ceasing to support Communism, the Communist waited for you to convene. Then, with perfect timing, as you discussed Foreign Aid, they unveiled their "1958 Model" of Moscow-Tito conflict, sharpened for sale in the West. For by making Comrade Tito appear "independent of Moscow" again, they hope you'll grant Aid, not only for Tito and Gomulka, but this time to all other Satellites as well!

You wouldn't use chauffeurs or pilot who couldn't tell red from green, would you? Why, then, in this critical matter affecting our nation's existence, listen to pleas for Aid to Communist governments from men who apparently can't tell Communist Slavery's red from Freedom's green? Why give them discretionary power to comfort Communism? Would't you better ban all Aid to Communist governments.. .

SHOW THE WORLD YOU IN CONGRESS ARE NOT COLOR BLIND ABOUT COMMUNISM !

Yours sincerely
William H. Smyth

THE PENTAGON CASE

A NOVEL OF THE COLD WAR

By Col. Victor J. Fox

This is one of the most controversial novels ever published. It is NOT a detective story, yet it is supercharged with suspense.

Told in plain, unembellished jargonese, it describes what happens to an ex-marine and his wife when he tries to expose an enemy underground cell which he uncovers during the course of his assigned duties as Special Assistant to a high Pentagon official.

Unlike Emile Zola's famed non-fiction documentary "J'ACCUSE!" which rocked France with its exposure of government corruption in the notorious Dreyfuss case, THE PENTAGON CASE is fiction. Yet it portrays in merciless detail a far more dangerous situation—a shocking national disgrace which discerning readers will quickly recognize as having foundations in fact; anyone who reads this book can find ample evidence of its basic truths simply by scanning the magazines sold openly in his own neighborhood. For all its guise of fiction this book cannot hide the terrifying helplessness of this country in opposing the insidious saturation of its magazines, books, entertainment media, and educational system with subversive propaganda!

In effect, this story is a powerful endorsement of the Congressional Investigating Committees which have been hamstrung by recent decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court. It is also a scathing indictment of the naive stupidity with which our Armed Forces all too frequently cooperate with magazines, movies and TV programs aimed at undermining our military morale and destroying our nation's will to resist!

Price -- \$1.00 (paper cover — 247 pages)
order from
Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey